

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,444	03/26/2004	Liang Liu	1474	
25859	7590 08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
WEI TE CHUNG			PATEL, ASHOK	
FOXCONN II	NTERNATIONAL, INC.			
1650 MEMOREX DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			2879	

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<n< th=""></n<>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	UV
	10/811,444	LIU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ashok Patel	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING (- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-4,7-23,26 and 27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) 7-23 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 26 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a complex and a comple	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stag	e
ttachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 2879

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 1-4, and 27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Han et al (USPN 6515415, of record).

Han et al disclose applicant's claimed field emission display (Figures 3, 6) including: at least a cathode electrode (120); at least a carbon nanotubes (121) array having an end surface, each end surface being in electrical connection with a corresponding cathode electrode; an anode electrode (160); at least a gate electrode (140) arranged between the at least a cathode electrode and the anode electrode; a spacer (barrier rib 130) of 10-100 micrometers height; and an intermediate layer

- (190) arranged between the gate electrode and the spacer, wherein the nanotube array is connected to the corresponding cathode electrode via resistance feedback layer (125, Figure 6).
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 2 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han al (USPN 6515415, of record) in view of Lee et al (USPN 6339281, of record).

As to claim 2, Han et al disclose the material of the spacer being insulating. However, Han et al do not disclose specific material for the spacer. Although the use of silicon oxide, silicon nitride material etc. is known in the field emission

device art for providing spacers, Lee et al ('281) is cited for showing a silicon oxide material for the spacer (Figures 1-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the field emission device of Lee et al ('480) including silicon oxide material for the spacer.

As to claim 27, Han et al do not disclose specific thickness of the intermediate layer as claimed by applicant. However, providing suitable thickness for the intermediate layer would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, since it has been held that where general conditions of the claim are discovered in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

6. Claim 26 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 26 is allowable over prior art of the record since prior art of the record does not disclose applicant's claimed field emission device of entire base claim 1, wherein the end surface of the carbon nanotube array is substantially flush with an end of the spacer.

Art Unit: 2879

- 7. Claims 7-23 are already allowed in the past office action.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 2879

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ashok Patel
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2879